

COURT OF APPEALS
DIVISION TWO
OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON

FILED
COURT OF APPEALS
DIVISION II

2014 OCT 20 PM 1:15

STATE OF WASHINGTON

BY: [Signature]
DEPUTY

STATE OF WASHINGTON)
)
 Respondent,)
)
 v.)
)
 RICKY A. RIFFE,)
 (your name))
)
 Appellant.)

No. 45744-0-II

STATEMENT OF ADDITIONAL
GROUNDS FOR REVIEW

I, Ricky A Riffe, have received and reviewed the opening brief prepared by my attorney. Summarized below are the additional grounds for review that are not addressed in that brief. I understand the Court will review this Statement of Additional Grounds for Review when my appeal is considered on the merits.

Additional Ground 1

See attached

Additional Ground 2

If there are additional grounds, a brief summary is attached to this statement.

Date: 10/16/14

Signature: [Signature]

IN MY CASE THE INVESTIGATOR'S CLOSE PERSONAL RELATIONSHIP WITH THE VICTIM'S SON AND THE USE OF PRIVATE INVESTIGATORS RESULTED IN AN UNFAIR TRIAL. THE VICTIM'S SON, DENNIS HADALLER, IS AN IMPORTANT MAN IN LEWIS COUNTY - HE OWNED A SUCCESSFUL BUSINESS, ALSO HE WAS THE LEWIS COUNTY COMMISSIONER FOR YEARS. IN THE EARLY 2000'S HE HIRED PRIVATE INVESTIGATORS - NOT TO FIND THE TRUTH - BUT TO FIND EVIDENCE TO CONVICT MY BROTHER AND I. IT WAS ONLY AFTER HE HIRED THESE TWO INVESTIGATORS THAT OLD "WITNESSES" STARTED TO CHANGE THEIR STATEMENTS. THIS INVESTIGATION WAS IN NO WAY INDEPENDENT AND UNBIASED BUT RATHER DRIVEN BY ASSUMPTION THAT MY BROTHER AND I WERE RESPONSIBLE FOR THE MURDERS. WITH MR. HADALLER BEING THE EX-COMMISSIONER HE HAD CONTACTS TO GAIN ACCESS TO THE CASE FILE FOR HIS INVESTIGATORS AND WHEN DETECTIVE KIMSEY CAME ON THE CASE IN 2005 HE WAS INFLUENCED BY THE PRIVATE INVESTIGATORS ALONG WITH KIMSEY'S FRIENDSHIP WITH MR. HADALLER. LOOK ONLY IN MY BROTHER AND I'S DIRECTION IN THIS CASE CREATED THE APPEARANCE OF A PROSECUTION UNFAIRLY BASED ON PRIVATE INTERESTS RATHER THAN ONE PROPERLY BASED ON A SEARCH FOR THE TRUTH.

COURTS HAVE HELD THAT THE USE OF A PRIVATE PROSECUTOR - THAT IS A PROSECUTOR WHO HAD WORKED FOR THE VICTIM'S FAMILY - INFRINGED ON THE DEFENDANT'S RIGHT TO A FAIR AND IMPARTIAL TRIAL.

ADKINS V. COM., 26 VA. APP. 14, 19, 492, S.E. 2d 833, 835 (1997)

THE SAME SHOULD BE TRUE WHEN THE VICTIM HIRES PRIVATE DETECTIVES WITH THE GOAL OF INCRIMINATING ONE PARTICULAR PERSON

RATHER THAN FINDING OUT THE TRUTH. COURTS
SHOULD NOT ACCEPT PROSECUTIONS BASED UPON PERSONAL
VENGEANCE RATHER THAN THE IMPARTIAL EVIDENCE.